UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,306	02/14/2001	Uwe Wenzel	51202	2453
26474 NOVAK DRIJ	7590 12/05/2007 CE DELLICA & OUIGG I	EXAMINER		
NOVAK DRUCE DELUCA & QUIGG, LLP 1300 EYE STREET NW SUITE 1000 WEST TOWER WASHINGTON, DC 20005			OLSON, ERIC	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Wilsimiter	., 50 2000		1623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

*/	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/782,306	WENZEL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eric S. Olson	1623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication		rith the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u>'0 December 2006</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 3	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	•	•			
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application	l.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	adlan alaatian naaniisansant				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	id/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•	•			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	troccived			
See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) L Notice of I	Informal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗌 Other:				

09/782,306

Art Unit: 1623

Detailed Action

This office action is a response to applicant's communication submitted December 20, 2006, wherein the rejections of record in the previous office action are traversed. This application claims benefit of provisional application 60/185179, filed February 25, 2000.

Claim 1 is pending in this application.

Claim 1 as amended are examined on the merits herein.

In accordance with the petition decision of record March 5, 2007, the finality of the previous office action is withdrawn. All pending claims are examined on the merits herein, in view of Applicant's arguments submitted December 20, 2006.

Applicant's arguments, submitted December 20, 2006, with respect to the rejection of instant claim 1 under 35 USC 102(b) for being anticipated by Watanabe et al., have been fully considered and found to be persuasive to remove the rejection as the term "neutraceutical" is seen to be defined in the instant specification in such a way as to not be identical with the compounds and compositions described by Watanabe et al. Therefore the rejection is withdrawn.

The following new grounds of rejection are introduced:

09/782,306 Art Unit: 1623

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
Watanabe et al. (US patent 5650433, of record in previous action) in view of Hirschberg.
(US patent 6440449, cited in PTO-892) Watanabe et al. discloses flavonoid
chondroprotective agents. (column 2, lines 10-24) The list of preferred embodiments
includes Flavone, which is the compound recited in instant claim 1. (columns 3-4, table
1, no. 1) These compounds inhibit the destruction of chondrocyte matrix and are useful
as chondorprotective agents for treating various types of arthropathy including
osteoarthritis. (column 5, lines 4-13) The active agent can be prepared in any
conventional formulation in mixture with any pharmaceutically acceptable carrier or
diluent. (column 5, lines 14-23) Watanabe et al. does not disclose a composition that is
a neutraceutical according to the definition given in p. 9, lines 26-30 of the specification,
containing only beneficial, health-promoting, naturally occurring components.

Hirschberg discloses a method of infusing a phytochemical, neutraceutical, or other food additive into a food product. (column 2, lines 18-47) Examples of foods that can be treated in this manner include fruits, vegetables, juices, and meats. (column 3, lines 29-42) A food product to which a medicinal agent has been added in this manner is considered to be a neutraceutical according to the definition given in p. 9, lines 26-30

09/782,306 Art Unit: 1623

of the specification. It is noted that this definition is very broad and would encompass any food product infused with a therapeutic agent.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to infuse the flavone compound of Watanabe et al. into the infused foods of Hirschberg. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the inventions in this manner because Hirschberg already discloses that various phytochemicals and other compounds can be added to foods in this manner, and because Watanabe et al. discloses that any conventional dosage form, which limitation includes the infused foods of Hirschman, can be used to deliver the flavone compounds. One of ordinary skill in the art would reasonably have expected success because preparing a known active agent as a known dosage form is well within the ordinary and routine level of skill in the art.

Furthermore, there exist many extremely common, naturally occurring pharmaceutical excipients (e.g. water, sucrose, vegetable oil) that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize as being useful for making formulations of an active agent such as the flavone described by Watanabe et al. Formulations using these ingredients would be compositions including only naturally occurring components as described in the instant specification.

Thus the invention taken as a whole is *prima facie* obvious.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed in this application.

Page 5

09/782,306

Art Unit: 1623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric S. Olson whose telephone number is 571-272-9051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Anna Jiang can be reached on (571)272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eric Olson

Patent Examiner

AU 1623 11/29/07 Anna Jiang

Supervisory Patent Examiner

AU 1623